

R. v. Gary McHale
John Murray - Cr-ex.

me a question "did violence occur?", yes I think at any point in time that the police have to step in and arrest people in order to prevent a furtherance of their activities it's because that, that situation is becoming violent or certainly it's displaying all of the necessary elements of a violent situation.

Q. Have I been charged with assault at anytime in the last 20 months?

A. No sir.

Q. Has Mark Vandermaas been charged with assault...

A. Not...

Q...at anytime in the last 20 months?

A. Not, not that I'm aware, no sir.

Q. Have I been accused of assaulting anybody?

A. Yes, you have.

Q. What was the result of that?

A. That is still under investigation and it is my understanding that we will be laying charges in respect to a false allegation.

Q. So, I was falsely accused of assaulting somebody?

A. Absolutely.

Q. Okay. And that event occurred...

A. And you were good enough to provide me with the video tape to support that.

Q. Right. Now you've mentioned the March for Freedom; that you've identified that some of my rallies were called March for Freedom, can you define what you believe that statement means?

A. Your asking me to define a title that you've given to some, to an event and I can't do that, I don't know

R. v. Gary McHale
John Murray - Cr-ex.

statement made by him. I have not made any statement of any sort that suggests that he is credible or not credible.

Q. But you have no...

A. I don't know the man.

Q. But you don't know the context of the conversation, you don't know what was said before the quote or what was said after the quote you gave?

A. That's fair.

Q. Okay. You make reference to Martin Luther King, do you realize that he to was put in jail for marches?

A. I'm aware of the history of Martin Luther King sir.

Q. Are you aware that he was put in jail for, for having marches?

A. Yes.

Q. Was it legal for him to have marches?

A. Apparently not at that time.

Q. Would you agree with the fact that it should be legal for him?

A. I, I, with all due respect sir, I, I fail to see where that's relevant in anyway, shape or form.

GARY MCHALE: Well you raised the issue of Martin Luther King.

A. I didn't raise the issue I simply said that you had attended at meetings, were quoting Martin Luther King.

GARY MCHALE: Right.

A. I didn't raise the issue.

Q. Well you raised them for a particular reason?

A. I, I identified...

Q. You wanted to give an ...

A. ...that it was something that you stated sir.

Q. Are you aware that Martin Luther King also

R. v. Gary McHale
John Murray - Cr-ex.

encouraged people to be arrested?

A. I have told you sir that I am aware of the history of Martin Luther King and yes that includes the fact that he raised people's awareness and caused them to be arrested.

Q. You make the comment that Doug Fleming was illegally selling cigarettes. What were their criteria that determine that it was illegal?

A. My understanding sir is that the cigarettes that he was selling were purchased from First Nations people.

Q. And that's a violation of what law?

A. And, and he is not allowed without a licence to sell them.

Q. So...

A. I'm not certain what the, I believe it's the Tobacco Restraint Act, but I could be wrong sir.

Q. Sure, but you meant, you meant, you testified here that what he was doing was illegal to sell cigarettes and you're now saying it's because he didn't have a licence and who author, who gives out that licence in your view?

A. It'd be a government body of some sort.

Q. When you referred to the, to the native smoke shops just after saying Doug was doing it illegally you didn't refer to the smoke shops as being illegal, are you suggesting that they had licences?

A. My understanding sir, and quite frankly I haven't investigated the nature of the smoke shops, but my understanding is that if they are on the First Nations territory that they are legal, as long as they don't sell to non-First Nations people, if they are not, then they are not in all likelihood legal.

Q. So the, the, on December the 1st the smoke

**R. v. Gary McHale
John Murray - Cr-ex.**

Q. So you have no support to say that people have been injured at my events?

A. I, as I said sir I haven't been present at any of those events, I'm not certain if people were injured. I don't have that information at my disposal. I can't answer it one way or the other.

Q. Then how can you say "I'm sure they were"?

A. Well, because as, as Ms. Paparella was good enough to point out sir I was still dealing with the time frame that went back to 2006 and there certainly were people that have, have been injured in events.

GARY MCHALE: Right.

A. Not necessarily events that you have been involved in, but in events.

Q. But you now have, okay. But you now have said that people have been injured at my events and I'm trying to get clarification, you are, are you able or not able to say firmly that people have been injured at my events?

A. December the 1st.

Q. I'm the one that was injured. So prior to December the 1st...

A. You weren't the only one sir.

Q. Which wasn't my event, you've already admitted to that?

A. No that, that's correct. I stand corrected.

Q. So at my events have people been injured, it's yes or no, by your knowledge?

A. Not by my knowledge sir.

Q. Okay. So prior to December 1st there is no record as far as the O.P.P is concerned that you can testify to of any violation of any laws that I have committed?

A. I think sir that you were arrested for